October 2, 2001

Ms. Elaine S. Hengen Assistant City Attorney City of El Paso 2 Civic Center Plaza El Paso, Texas 79901

OR2001-4419

## Dear Ms. Hengen:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 152669.

The City of El Paso Police Department (the "department") received two requests for information regarding case no. 01-173254. You state that the first request, received by the department on July 11, 2001, was for the incident report from the case. You state that the second request, received on July 27, 2001, was for a complete copy of the same case. You inform us that you have already released some information that is responsive to both requestors. You claim that portions of the requested information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that you failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 of the Government Code. Subsections 552.301(a) and (b) provide:

- (a) A governmental body that receives a written request for information that it wishes to withhold from public disclosure and that it considers to be within one of the [act's] exceptions . . . must ask for a decision from the attorney general about whether the information is within that exception if there has not been a previous determination about whether the information falls within one of the exceptions.
- (b) The governmental body must ask for the attorney general's decision and state the exceptions that apply within a reasonable time but not later than the 10th business day after the date of receiving the written request.

Gov't Code §552.301(a), (b). You state that the department received the second request for information on July 27, 2001. You did not request a decision from this office until August 17, 2001. Consequently, you failed to request a decision within the ten business day period mandated by section 552.301(a) of the Government Code. Because the request for a decision was not timely received, the requested information is presumed to be public information. Gov't Code § 552.302.

In order to overcome the presumption that the requested information is public information, a governmental body must provide compelling reasons why the information should not be disclosed. *Id.*; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.--Austin 1990, no writ); *see* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). This office has long held that a compelling reason sufficient to overcome the section 552.302 presumption of openness may exist where the information is confidential by law or its release implicates third party interests. *See*, *e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 586 (1991) (need of another governmental body to withhold the requested information under section 552.108 provides compelling reason for nondisclosure of the information), 150 (1977). But section 552.108, asserted by the department on its own behalf, is a discretionary exception that does not demonstrate a compelling reason sufficient to overcome the section 552.302 presumption of openness.<sup>2</sup> We thus conclude that none of the information may be withheld under section 552.108. Because sections 552.101 and 552.130 may provide compelling reasons under section 552.302, we next address your section 552.101 assertion.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrines of common law and constitutional privacy. Information may be withheld from the public under the common law right of privacy when (1) it is highly intimate and embarrassing such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities and (2) there is no legitimate public interest in its disclosure. *Industrial Foundation v. Texas Industrial Accident Board*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), cert. denied, 430 U.S. 931 (1977); Open Records Decision No. 611 at 1 (1992).

In Industrial Foundation, the Texas Supreme Court considered intimate and embarrassing information such as that relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Although the information submitted as responsive to the first request includes some information that was not submitted as responsive to the second request, your representations indicate that the second request encompasses all of the information responsive to the first request. We therefore conclude that the section 552.302 presumption of openness applies to the information responsive to both requests.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Discretionary exceptions are intended to protect only the interests of the governmental body, as distinct from exceptions which are intended to protect information deemed confidential by law or the interests of third parties. See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 592 at 8 (1991) (governmental body may waive section 552.104, information relating to competition or bidding); 549 at 6 (1990) (governmental body may waive informer's privilege); 522 at 4 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general).

workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683; *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (concluding that fact that a person broke out in hives as a result of severe emotional distress is excepted by common law privacy), 455 (1987) (concluding that kinds of prescription drugs a person is taking are protected by common-law privacy), 422 (1984) (concluding that details of self-inflicted injuries are presumed protected by common-law privacy), 343 (1982) (concluding that information regarding drug overdoses, acute alcohol intoxication, obstetrical/gynecological illnesses, convulsions/seizures, or emotional/mental distress is protected by common law privacy). We are of the opinion that a portion of the information regarding one of the individuals involved in the subject incident is protected by the common law right of privacy, and must therefore be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have marked this information.

You also claim that section 552.130 excepts a portion of the submitted information from public disclosure. Section 552.130 provides in relevant part:

- (a) Information is excepted from the requirement of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:
  - (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]
  - (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

You must withhold the marked vehicle identification number and license plate numbers under section 552.130. The remaining information must be released.

In summary, the marked information must be withheld from public disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with common law privacy. The marked vehicle identification number and license plate numbers must be withheld under section 552.130. The remainder of the submitted information, to the extent it is responsive to the request, must be released to the requestors.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full

benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Cindy Nettles

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

( Little

CN/seg

Ref: ID# 152669

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Leticia Zamarripa
KDBC-TV
2201 East Wyoming Avenue
El Paso, Texas 79903
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Cecilia Trujillo 4500 Capricorn El Paso, Texas 79924 (w/o enclosures)